

CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

To: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LEGAL AND
DEMOCRATIC SERVICES/MONITORING
OFFICER
PRIORY HOUSE
MONKS WALK
CHICKSANDS
SHEFFORD SG17 5TQ

Note: A call in request may be made by:-

1. An individual Ward Member where a decision has particular significance for that Member's Ward;
or
2. The Chairman of the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee; or
3. Any two Members of the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee; or
4. Any three non-executive Members of Council

Please complete relevant box below:-

1. Call-in by Ward Member		
From Cllr (Print Name)	Signature	Ward
Fiona Chapman		Woburn & Harlington

2. Call-in by Relevant Overview and Scrutiny Chairman	
From Cllr (Print Name)	Signature

3. Call-in by two Members of Relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee		
From Cllr (Print Name)	Signature	
1.		
2.		

4. Call-in by Three Non Executive Members of the Council		
From Cllr (Print Name)	Signature	
1.		
2.		
3.		

In accordance with the provisions contained in the Procedure Rules as set out in Section 10 of Part D2, I wish to "call in" the following decision of the Executive or individual Portfolio Holder or key decision of an Officer.

DECISION DETAILS

Delegated Decision made by Portfolioholder for Safer & Stronger Communities at the Traffic Management meeting on Thursday 15th April 2010

NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/DECISION	Traffic Management meeting 15 th April 2010		
ITEM/MINUTE REF	3.		
SUBJECT HEADING	Petition to change speed limits in Husborne Crawley		
Has the decision on this matter been subject to prior consideration by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee?	YES		
	NO	✓	

PLEASE STATE IN THE BOX BELOW:

- (A) THE REASON(S) FOR CALL-IN; AND
(B) THE ALTERNATIVE COURSE OF ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS PROPOSED.**

Reason for Call In

The only reason given at the meeting for turning the request down was that "a lower speed limit would not be enforceable". If that is accepted as a valid reason for refusal then we might as well rescind all speed restrictions immediately. The PFH did not appear to listen to the local Parish Councillor or to both CBC Councillors who spoke in favour of the petition. Nor did he allow, something which would have been at his discretion to do, the Parish Council Chairman to comment when he signalled his wish to speak during the debate. Instead he seemed to give greater credence to the Amey representative who openly admitted during the debate that he had never walked down the footpath along Turnpike Road.

Recommendations Proposed

I would urged that the petition is taken to Sustainable Communities Overview & Scrutiny Committee at the earliest opportunity for debate with a view to recommending that Central Bedfordshire Council, its Highways Department and Husborne Crawley Parish Council get together to form a satisfactory way forward to reducing traffic speeds in Husborne Crawley on Turnpike Road and School Lane in particular.

I would respectfully suggest that, prior to the O & S Suss. Comms Cttee, at least the Chairman and Vice Chairman, but preferably the whole Committee have a site visit in Husborne Crawley. Park in the Village Hall car park and walk along Turnpike Road as far as the Horsepool Lane turning as this would give them a good idea of what the villagers of Husborne Crawley fear. However, please be very vigilant while you are walking, we do not want any by-elections, please.

Date of Application	17 th April 2010	Date received by the Assistant Director of Legal and Democratic Services/Monitoring Officer	
----------------------------	-----------------------------	--	--

THIS PART OF THE FORM TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LEGAL AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES

CALL IN REQUEST APPROVED	YES	x
	NO	

CALL IN REQUEST REFUSED BECAUSE:	(a)	The procedures set out in Rules 10.7 to 10.9 have not been properly followed.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(b)	A similar decision has been called in to the committee previously.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(c)	The Executive decision has been recorded as urgent in accordance with Rules 10.13 to 10.15.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(d)	The Monitoring Officer, in consultation with the Chairman of the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee considers the call-in to be frivolous, vexatious or clearly outside the call-in provisions. A similar decision has been called in to the committee previously.	<input type="checkbox"/>

DATE ADVISED OF DECISION	
APPLICANT	20.04.2010
LEADER	20.04.2010
RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDER	20.04.2010
CHAIRMAN OF RELEVANT OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE	20.04.2010
CHIEF EXECUTIVE	20.04.2010
RELEVANT DIRECTOR	20.04.2010
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGER	20.04.2010
RELEVANT SERVICE HEAD	20.04.2010
HEAD OF DEMOCRATIC SERVICES	20.04.2010

SIGNED BY, OR ON BEHALF OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LEGAL AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES	SIGNATURE: <i>B U. Marin</i>	DATE:
	SIGNED BY CHAIRMAN OF OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE (In case of refusal of call in where matter is considered frivolous, vexatious or clearly outside the call-in provisions)	SIGNATURE: